(4) Special cases: Knapsack, TSP and others

Exercise 4.1. Solve the following knapsack problem using the branch-and-bound method:

max
$$17x_1 + 10x_2 + 25x_3 + 17x_4$$

za podm. $5x_1 + 3x_2 + 8x_3 + 7x_4 \le 12$, $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \{0, 1\}$.

Exercise 4.2. Solve the following knapsack problem using the pseudopolynomial algorithm:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\max & x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 + x_4 + 2x_5 \\
\text{s.t.} & 3x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 + 2x_4 + x_5 \le 7 \\
& x_1, \dots, x_5 \in \{0, 1\}
\end{array}$$

Exercise 4.3. Consider the knapsack problem in Exercise 4.2.

- (a) Find the optimum of the linear relaxation and use it to construct a minimal cover inequality.
- (b) Use lifting to strengthen the cut and solve the relaxation of the new problem.

Exercise 4.4. Apply the following heuristics to the symmetric TSP given by the cost matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -8 & 4 & 9 & 9 \\ 8 & -6 & 7 & 10 \\ 4 & 6 & -5 & 6 \\ 9 & 7 & 5 & -4 \\ 9 & 10 & 6 & 4 & - \end{pmatrix},$$

(a) nearest neighbor,

(d) nearest insertion,

(b) greedy algorithm,

(e) minimum spanning tree method,

(c) savings heuristics,

(f) 2-change method.

Exercise 4.5. Solve the 1-tree relaxation of the symmetric TSP given by the distance matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} - & 10 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 2 \\ 10 & - & 9 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 9 & - & 5 & 6 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 & - & 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 & 6 & 2 & - & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 3 & - \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4.6. Use linear relaxation, greedy algorithm and local improvement to solve an instance of the uncapacitated facility location problem with

$$C' = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 9 & 2 & 6 \\ 5 & 9 & 7 & 6 \\ 0 & 7 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 7 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Exercise 4.7. Let P denote the convex hull of the feasible set for the uncapacitated facility location problem with m = 2, i.e.

$$z_{1j} + z_{2j} = 1 \quad \forall j \in [n], \qquad 0 \le z_{ij} \le y_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2\}, j \in [n], \qquad y_1, y_2 \in \{0, 1\},$$

where $[n] = \{1, ..., n\}$. Prove that $z_{ij} \leq y_i$ is a facet-defining inequality for P for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in [n]$. Can you generalize the proof for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$?